



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

09/634,252 08/07/00

EXAMINER
----------

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

19

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ms. Suzanne Springer, Applicant's Counsel (3) Mr. Penelope Adams, SPE  
(2) Mr. Gordon Kit, Co-counsel (4) Mr. Nashed Nashed, Patent Attorney  
William W Moore Patent Attorney

Date of interview 20 October 2003

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☒ was not reached.

Claims discussed: 34-36

Identification of prior art discussed: Sheppard et al. was discussed but is not prior art.

[Sheppard et al., US 6,252,124 and US, 2002/0072102 specifically.]  
US, 2002/0137178

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The basis for rejection

of claims 34-36 was discussed. No agreement was reached.  
A draft amendment that describes desired subject matter in terms  
of hybridization/wash conditions stated at page 15 of the speci-  
cation will be submitted by Applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature